From: Minter, Douglas [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=0C1A47CA3AE847E2B7B818DA4734D7FD-MINTER, DOUGLAS]

Sent: 4/22/2014 10:41:29 PM

To: Hoskie, Sadie [Hoskie.Sadie@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: Discuss Regional Geo/Hydro Needs post VERA/VSIP

Location: Wasatch Room - 2nd floor conf center

Start: 4/23/2014 2:00:00 PM **End**: 4/23/2014 3:00:00 PM

Show Time As: Tentative

Sadie: here is my input on Russ's questions:

- 1. Is it "on-going" work versus "short-term" projects versus "monthly/quarterly/annual" work
 - a. What's the time commitment?
 - Technically complex aquifer exemption requests primarily related to Class I and III uranium ISR and some Class II (e.g.,. Moneta Divide) State UIC permits: Each of these can take at least 8 to 16 hours to review and document findings to inform a recommendation for decision making. However, if a request receives considerable public interest, this can take another 16 to 24 hours to review public comments including technical reports. We typically handle up to five to ten of these requests each year.
 - DI Deep Injection Well Permit Development: Technical assistance and review to inform permit
 conditions for Class I, II, V, and Class VI injection wells. This would include receipt of any applications
 for hydraulic fracturing with diesel and geo-sequestration of CO2. Hours will vary depending on
 project but could be substantial.
 - DI Class III and V uranium ISR Dewey Burdock Permit Issuance: Technical assistance through review and response to public comments on draft permits. Hours will vary depending on nature of technical comments.
- 2. Is it "regulation/statue" driven versus "politically sensitive" versus "HQ/Regional initiative"
 - All of the above work is required by UIC regulation. Some projects will be of high public interest and politically sensitive (e.g., Dewey Burdock and Moneta Divide)
- 3. What type of work is it?
 - a. Document review and associated comments yes
 - b. Data analysis yes
 - c. Modeling review of modeling results
 - d. Developing work plans
 - e. Writing reports/narratives yes
 - f. Presenting to internal/external customers yes
 - g. Creating figures/maps
 - h. Commenting on national guidance doc's
 - i. Participation on work groups, associations, etc.
 - j. Managing grants, contracts, IAs
 - k. Directing SEEs, junior employees
 - I. Partnering with states, local gov't, or other federal agencies

----Original Appointment----

From: Leclerc, Russell

Sent: Monday, April 21, 2014 8:39 AM

To: Leclerc, Russell; Minter, Douglas; Hoskie, Sadie; Shanahan, Mike; Bloom, Judy; McKean, Deborah

Cc: Garcia, Bert

Subject: FW: Discuss Regional Geo/Hydro Needs post VERA/VSIP

When: Wednesday, April 23, 2014 8:00 AM-9:00 AM (UTC-07:00) Mountain Time (US & Canada).

Where: Wasatch Room - 2nd floor conf center

Will you be able to attend? Let's discuss.

----Original Appointment----

From: Leclerc, Russell

Sent: Monday, April 21, 2014 8:00 AM

To: Leclerc, Russell; Hoskie, Sadie; Shanahan, Mike; Bloom, Judy; McKean, Deborah

Cc: Garcia, Bert

Subject: Discuss Regional Geo/Hydro Needs post VERA/VSIP

When: Wednesday, April 23, 2014 8:00 AM-9:00 AM (UTC-07:00) Mountain Time (US & Canada).

Where: Wasatch Room - 2nd floor conf center

Hi Everyone,

Let's discuss our regional geo/hydro work load given the departures of Mike Wireman, Darcy Campbell, Randy Breden, and Dan Jackson.

It appears that the region is left with only one geo/hydro FTE, Andrew Schmidt who is currently 100% Superfund. Superfund also has one SEE providing geo/hydro support, and we utilize an IA with USGS. Andrew and my SEE have been providing a de minimis amount of Drinking water, NEPA and O&G support.

It also appears that SLT will allow the region to hire one additional geo/hydro FTE via an internal hire.

I propose that we meet to discuss how we are going to cover the high priority work given the reduction in FTE.

Please come prepared to discuss your geo/hydro needs. It is clear that we will not be able to absorb all the work left with the recent departures. Consequently, please prioritize the work.

Here are some ways to think about the work:

- 4. Is it "on-going" work versus "short-term" projects versus "monthly/quarterly/annual" work
 - a. What's the time commitment?
- 2. Is it "regulation/statue" driven versus "politically sensitive" versus "HQ/Regional initiative"
- 3. What type of work is it?
 - a. Document review and associated comments
 - b. Data analysis
 - c. Modeling
 - d. Developing work plans
 - e. Writing reports/narratives
 - f. Presenting to internal/external customers
 - g. Creating figures/maps
 - h. Commenting on national guidance doc's

- i. Participation on work groups, associations, etc.
- j. Managing grants, contracts, IAs
- k. Directing SEEs, junior employees
- I. Partnering with states, local gov't, or other federal agencies

In order to ensure that everyone gets an opportunity to share their Program needs, each person will receive 5 to 7 minutes to describe their work load and priorities.

minutes to describe their work load and priorities.	
Please call if you have any questions X6693	

•	 -	 	, -	 	 -	 ٠,	 _	 	 	-	 -	_	_

Thanks,

Russ